# Role of the Membrane Potential in Serum-Stimulated Uptake of Amino Acid in a Diploid Human Fibroblast

## Mitchel L. Villereal and John S. Cook

The University of Tennessee-Oak Ridge Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, and Cancer and Toxicology Program, Biology Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

The Na<sup>+</sup>-dependent accumulation of  $\alpha$ -aminoisobutyric acid (AIB), measured in normal growing and quiescent (serum-deprived) HSWP cells (human diploid fibroblast), was found to be twofold higher (AIB<sub>in</sub>/AIB<sub>out</sub> = 20-25) under the normal growing conditions. Serum stimulation of quiescent cells increases their AIB concentrating capacity by approximately 70% within 1 hr. These observations suggest that the driving forces for AIB accumulation may be reversibly influenced by the serum concentration of the growth medium. Addition of valinomycin (Val) to cells preequilibrated with AIB causes an enhanced accumulation of AIB, suggesting that the membrane potential can serve as a driving force for AIB accumulation. After preequilibration with AIB in 6 mM K<sup>+</sup>, transfer to 94 mM K<sup>+</sup> with Val results in a marked and rapid net loss of AIB. The effect of Val on the accumulation of AIB is greatest in quiescent cells, with the intracellular AIB concentrations reaching those seen both in Val-stimulated normal cells and in Val-stimulated serum-stimulated cells. By adjusting  $[K^{\dagger}]_{0}$ , in the presence of Val, the membrane potential of growing cells can be matched to that of quiescent cells or vice versa. When this is done, the two accumulate AIB to the same extent. Hence the AIB accumulating capacity is characteristic of the membrane potential rather than of the growth state. In summary, these data suggest that the accumulation of AIB in HSWP cells is influenced by changes in membrane potential and that a serum-associated membrane hyperpolarization could be responsible for the increased capacity for AIB accumulation in serumstimulated cells.

# Key words: valinomycin, human fibroblast, amino acid transport, serum stimulation, membrane potential

In recent years, many investigators have sought to determine whether alterations in membrane permeability can be correlated with changes in cell growth state. Several studies have demonstrated that a decrease in the transport of several low-molecular-weight nutrients (inorganic phosphate, uridine, amino acids) occurs when cells go from a state of growth to one of quiescence. These observations have led to speculation that alterations in membrane permeability to metabolites may, by controlling their intracellular concentrations, play a role in regulating cell growth and transformation (1, 2).

The transport of amino acids, in particular, has been extensively studied as a possible growth regulatory mechanism. The well-documented dependence of cell growth on amino

Received March 14, 1977; accepted March 18, 1977 © 1977 Alan R. Liss, Inc., 150 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10011

acid supply (3), as well as the small margin of safety observed in some cells between maximal transport rate of and metabolic demands for amino acids (4), suggest that this pathway is well suited for such a regulatory function. Investigations of amino acid transport indicate that the rate of amino acid uptake in logarithmically growing, nontransformed cells is substantially higher than in either confluent [3T3 cells (1)], hyperconfluent [chick embryo cells (5)] or serum-deprived, quiescent cells [chick embryo cells (5), human diploid cells (6)]. The enhanced amino acid uptake seen in growing cells suggests the presence of an increased number of transport sites in the cell membrane. However, in some cases, changes in transport are too rapid to be due to the synthesis and incorporation of new transport sites into the membrane. Also, observations indicate that the level of amino acid accumulation is elevated in growing cells (1, 5). Although an increase in the number of transporters could increase the rate of transport, it would not increase the steady-state level of amino acid concentrating capacity of the cell. It therefore appears that when quiescent cells enter a growth state there must be either an increase in the driving force for amino acid uptake or a more efficient coupling to existing forces.

The present study attempts to identify the source of energy for the elevated capacity for accumulation of amino acids in growing cells. Amino acid transport is studied in a skin-derived, human diploid fibroblast strain (HSWP), using the nonmetabolizable amino acid analog  $\alpha$ -aminoisobutyric acid (AIB) as a model substrate for the Na<sup>+</sup>-dependent amino acid transport system. We show that AIB accumulation is greater in growing cells than in serum-deprived, quiescent cells and that the effect is partially reversed within 1 hr after serum-stimulation of quiescent cells. The possible role of the membrane potential in driving the enhanced amino acid accumulation in growing cells is tested by using valino-mycin to alter the membrane potential. Evidence is presented which suggests that the enhanced level of AIB accumulation in growing cells is the result of a growth-related membrane hyperpolarization.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## **Cells and Growth**

HSWP cells, human diploid fibroblasts, were derived from human foreskin by J. D. Regan (ORNL). They were cultured in Eagle's minimum essential media (KC Biological Inc.) containing 10% fetal calf serum (KC Biological Inc.), and 25  $\mu$ g/ml gentamicin (Schering Corp.). Stock cultures were maintained at confluence in the same medium with 1% fetal calf serum. Cells were grown at 37°C in a 95% air-5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, and were used between the 10th and 25th passage. Cells were removed from stock flasks by trypsinization and were seeded onto individual coverslips ( $11 \times 25$  mm) in petri dishes as originally described by Foster and Pardee (1) and modified by Salter and Cook (7). Twenty-four hours after plating, the cells were fed with growth media containing either 10% fetal calf serum (growing cells) or 0.1% fetal calf serum (serum-deprived cells). Transport measurements were performed on growing cells at subconfluent densities and on serum-deprived cells following 2-4 days on 0.1% fetal calf serum. Serum-deprived cells are considered to be quiescent when  $[{}^{3}H]$  thymidine incorporation into the acid insoluble fraction drops below 10% of growing controls. Approximately 18-22 hr after serum stimulation (20% fetal calf serum) of serum-deprived cells, there is a burst of incorporation of [<sup>3</sup>H] thymidine into acid-soluble material.

## **Transport Studies**

Amino acid transport was measured using  $\alpha$ -aminoisobutyric acid (AIB), a nonmetabolizable substrate of the Na<sup>+</sup>-dependent, amino acid concentrating, transport system [A system (8)]. The assay medium consisted of amino acid-free Eagle's minimum essential medium (EMEM) with Hanks' salts, 20 mM HEPES (N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-N'-2ethanesulfonic acid), 0.1 mM AIB, and ~ 1  $\mu$ Ci/ml <sup>3</sup> H-AIB (ICN). In some experiments the Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> concentrations of the assay media were altered by equimolar substitution of either potassium chloride or choline chloride for sodium chloride in the Hanks' salts. In all media [NaCl + KCl + ChCl] = 144 mM. Valinomycin (Val; Sigma Chemical Co.) was added to some assay media as an ethanol solution with the appropriate amount of ethanol also being added to control media (< 0.25% final concentration).

Four-milliliter aliquots of assay medium were placed in  $15 \times 45$ -mm shell vials (Kimble-Products) and equilibrated at  $37^{\circ}$ C. Coverslips were removed from their growth media, drained by touching to an absorbent paper towel and placed in shell vials for the required times. To terminate AIB uptake, the coverslips were removed from the assay media, and rapidly washed 3 times in cold Tris-buffered saline (pH 7.4). They were then drained and placed in a glass scintillation vial containing 1 ml of 0.1 N NaOH to lyse the cells. Following lysis, 0.1 ml of 1 N HCl and 10 ml of Triton X-100/toluene counting solution, containing 5.5 g/liter Permablend I (Packard), were added. Radioactivity was determined in a Nuclear-Chicago Mark II Spectrometer.

## **Protein Measurement**

Protein was measured by the intrinsic fluorescence of tryptophan residues (9). Protein was solubilized by placing each coverslip in a shell vial containing 4 ml of 0.2% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS). The emission of the SDS extract was measured at 338 nm, using an excitation wavelength of 286 nm (Perkin Elmer model 204 fluorescence spectrophotometer). Bovine serum albumin dissolved in 0.2% SDS was utilized as a standard.

#### Water and Electrolyte Measurements

Cell water content was measured as the distribution volume of <sup>3</sup>H-3-O-methyl glucose, which is passively distributed in HSWP cells (10). The distribution of L-glucose, which is not transported in these cells, was used to measure extracellular medium not removed by washing. The water-to-protein ratio ( $\mu$ g H<sub>2</sub>O/ $\mu$ g protein) was 4.4 ± 0.10 ( $\eta$  = 5) for growing cells in the 6 mM K<sup>+</sup>, 138 mM Na<sup>+</sup> assay medium. This ratio was not appreciably altered by any of the experimental manipulations (i.e., serum deprivation, valino-mycin addition, decrease in [Na<sup>+</sup>]<sub>0</sub>, increase in [K<sup>+</sup>]<sub>0</sub>).

Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> concentrations were determined flame photometrically using Li<sup>+</sup> as an internal standard.

## RESULTS

HSWP cells cultured in 10% fetal calf serum grow to confluent densities of  $120 \pm 15$  µg protein per coverslip within 6–8 days after plating. In contrast, cells which are deprived of serum 24 hours after plating, become quiescent within 3–5 days. The serum-deprived cells grow to densities of  $30 \pm 5$  µg protein per coverslip and can achieve confluent densities only upon restoration of serum to the growth medium.

AIB uptake was measured in both growing and serum-deprived cells 3-5 days sub-

sequent to plating on coverslips, which corresponds to a time of logarithmic growth for the serum-sufficient cells and of quiescence for the serum-deprived cells. Although AIB uptake in growing cells is relatively slow, reaching steady state in about 60 min, the AIB concentration ratios ( $AIB_i/AIB_0$ ) which can be maintained are 20–25 (Fig. 1). The AIB accumulation in quiescent, serum-deprived cells is considerably lower than that in growing cells, with AIB ratios of only 8–10. However, the AIB concentrating capacity of the quiescent cells can be at least partially restored by serum stimulation (20% fetal calf serum for 1 hour) prior to measurement of AIB uptake. Serum-stimulated cells can accumulate AIB to concentration ratios of 12–15.



Fig. 1. Effect of serum deprivation and serum stimulation on AIB uptake in subconfluent HSWP cells. For assay, cells were removed from the indicated growth medium and placed in a serum-free, amino acid-free, Eagle's minimum essential medium (6 K<sup>+</sup>, 138 Na<sup>+</sup>) containing 0.1 mM AIB. AIB uptake is plotted vs time of accumulation for data compiled from 4 experiments. Mean  $\pm$  SE of at least 3 determinations at each time point is shown.

The data in Fig. 2 demonstrate that the uptake of AIB in growing HSWP cells is Na<sup>+</sup>-dependent. When extracellular sodium concentrations are decreased by equimolar replacement with choline, the accumulation of AIB is also decreased. For example, when the environmental sodium concentration is lowered from 138 mM to 10 mM, the accumulation ratio drops from 20 to 4.

The capacity for concentrating amino acids in growing cells is dependent on the membrane potential as well as on the Na<sup>+</sup> concentration gradient. If cells are equilibrated with 0.1 mM AIB for 75 min, in a control medium of 6 mM K<sup>+</sup> and 50 mM Na<sup>+</sup>, and then transferred to a 50 mM Na<sup>+</sup>, 0.1 mM AIB environment containing the K<sup>+</sup>-ionophore valinomycin (Val), the final level of AIB accumulation is markedly influenced by the external concentration of K<sup>+</sup>. In the absence of Val, growing cells incubated in this control environment can achieve an AIB accumulation ratio of 8 (Fig. 3). Membrane hyperpolarization by the addition of Val to cells in this medium enables an accumulation ratio of at least 10



Fig. 2. Na<sup>+</sup> sensitivity of AIB uptake in subconfluent, growing HSWP cells. Cells were removed from their normal growth medium and placed in a serum-free, amino acid-free medium containing 0.1 mM AIB, 6 mM K<sup>+</sup>, and Na<sup>+</sup> + Ch<sup>+</sup> = 138 mM. AIB uptake vs time of accumulation is plotted for a representative experiment. Mean  $\pm$  SE of at least 3 determinations is shown.



Fig. 3. Modification of AlB accumulation by valinomycin and its dependence on the K<sup>+</sup> diffusion gradient. Cells were removed from their normal growth medium and incubated in a serum-free, amino acid-free, 6 mM K<sup>+</sup>, 50 mM Na<sup>+</sup> medium for 75 min. They were then transferred to either i) the same medium, ii) a 6 K<sup>+</sup>, 50 mM Na<sup>+</sup> medium containing Val (10  $\mu$ g/ml), or iii) a 94 mM K<sup>+</sup>, 50 mM Na<sup>+</sup> medium at AlB concentration is plotted vs time of accumulation for data from 3 experiments. Mean ± SE of 9 determinations is shown.

to be achieved. However, if cells preequilibrated in the control environment are transferred to a Val-containing medium with 94 mM  $K^+$ , causing a membrane depolarization, there is a marked and rapid net loss of intracellular AIB.

The experiments depicted in Fig. 4 were designed to compare the effects of membrane hyperpolarization on the AIB-accumulating capacity of cells in 3 different growth states. Either growing, quiescent, or serum-stimulated cells were incubated in a medium containing 0.1 mM AIB, 138 mM Na<sup>+</sup>, and 6 mM K<sup>+</sup> for 90 min, at which time they were transferred to an identical medium containing Val (10  $\mu$ g/ml). The presence of Val enabled growing cells to increase their accumulation ratio of AIB from 25 to 34 (Fig. 4). The effect of Val stimulation is more dramatic in quiescent cells, causing a three- to fourfold increase in the previously depressed intracellular AIB concentration, to an AIB accumulation ratio of 34. This corresponds to the same concentrating capacity observed in Val-stimulated, growing cells. It is important to emphasize that the effects of serum stimulation and Val stimulation on AIB accumulation in quiescent cells are not additive. The combined treatment with Val and serum drives the internal AIB concentration to the same value observed in quiescent cells treated with Val alone. Thus, Val stimulation overrides the differences in AIB accumulation associated with the growing, quiescent, and serum-stimulated growth states.

Since a membrane hyperpolarization was observed to negate the growth associated



Fig. 4. Effect of valinomycin on AIB accumulation by HSWP cells in 3 growth states. Cells were removed from their growth medium and incubated in a serum-free, amino acid-free EMEM (6 K<sup>+</sup>, 138 Na<sup>+</sup>) containing 0.1 mM AIB for 90 min. Valinomycin (10  $\mu$ g/ml) was added to half the population of cells at 90 min, while the other half served as controls. AIB uptake is plotted vs time of accumulation for data compiled from 3 experiments. Mean ± SE of at least 3 determinations is shown.

#### AIB Accumulation and Membrane Potential JSS: 185

differences in AIB accumulation, the next series of experiments investigated whether the increased concentrating capacity in growing cells might indeed be due to a higher membrane potential. In this series of experiments, the Na<sup>+</sup> concentration of the assay medium was maintained at 50 mM to allow flexibility in manipulating K<sup>+</sup> concentration. Membrane potentials were estimated by varying the external K<sup>+</sup> concentration in the presence of Val, and determining at what value of  $[K^+]_0$  the AIB accumulation equaled the accumulation in the control medium (6 mM K<sup>+</sup>, no Val). This method is based on the "null point" technique used with the fluorescent dyes (11). After AIB accumulation has reached steady state in the controls (6 mM K<sup>+</sup>, no Val),  $[K^+]_0$  is adjusted to a series of values in the presence of Val and the change in AIB is determined for each value of  $[K^+]_0$ . The value of  $[K^+]_0$  in the presence of Val at which there is no change in AIB concentration ratio is determined and the membrane potential calculated from the equation for a K<sup>+</sup> electrode

$$(E_m = \frac{RT}{F} \ln \frac{[K^+]_0}{[K^+]_i}).$$

Since cells lose about 10% of their internal  $K^+$  content after 60 min in the presence of Val, the potential was calculated based on the value of  $[K]_i$  measured at the end of the experiment. This potential is taken to be the same as the preexisting potential of the control cells in 6 mM K<sup>+</sup> without Val. The complete data for the determination of the "null point" are presented elsewhere (12).

The data in Fig. 5 demonstrate that in the control environment (6 mM K<sup>+</sup>, no Val) the cells accumulate AIB to about an eightfold concentration ratio, and experience little or no change of AIB when cells are transferred to a 21 mM K<sup>+</sup> environment containing Val. Thus, the "null point" value for  $[K^+]_0$  is approximately 21 mM, when  $[K^+]_i = 125$  mM (measured at the end of the experiment). This corresponds to a membrane potential of



Fig. 5. AIB accumulation in quiescent and growing cells: the effect of matching their membrane potentials. Cells were removed from their growth medium and incubated in a serum-free, amino acid-free, 6 mM K<sup>+</sup>, 50 mM Na<sup>+</sup>, 0.1 mM AIB medium for 75 min. They were then transferred to either a 21 mM K<sup>+</sup>, 50 mM Na<sup>+</sup>, Val-containing medium or a 50 mM K<sup>+</sup>, Val-containing medium, Intracellular AIB concentration is plotted vs time of accumulation for a representative experiment. Mean of at least 3 determinations is shown.

-47 mV. On the other hand, in the control environment quiescent cells accumulate AIB to a concentration ratio of approximately 5 and undergo no change in internal AIB concentration when transferred to a 50 mM K<sup>+</sup> environment containing Val (Fig. 5). Thus, quiescent cells have a "null point" of 50 mM K<sup>+</sup>, again with [K<sup>+</sup>]<sub>i</sub> = 125 mM (measured at the end of the experiment), which corresponds to a membrane potential of -24 mV.

Quiescent cells in a 21 mM K<sup>+</sup> + Val medium, where their membrane potential is matched to that determined for growing cells, accumulate AIB to the same level as do growing cells in a control environment (Fig. 5). Conversely, growing cells in a 50 mM K<sup>+</sup> + Val medium, where their membrane potential is matched to that determined for quiescent cells, decrease their AIB concentration ratio to the same level found in control quiescent cells,

## DISCUSSION

Studies of growth-related alterations in amino acid transport have dealt primarily with the kinetics of initial transport rates. The observation that in some cells the maximum amino acid transport rate is higher during growth than quiescence suggests a larger number of transport sites in growing cells. However, since the  $V_{max}$  for AIB uptake can be a function of more than the number of transport sites, and under some conditions may even reflect primarily a change in the membrane potential (13), then the growth-associated alterations in transport may be more complex than a simple increase in the number of transport sites. Steady state transport experiments can help clarify this point by determining whether increased transport rates during growth are accompanied by an increased amino acid accumulating capacity. An increase in concentrating capacity would be independent of the number of transport sites, but would instead be dependent on an additional source of energy.

The observations that AIB accumulation in confluent 3T3 cells is 30% lower than in nonconfluent cells (1) and that valine equilibrium uptake (expressed only as cpm/mg protein) into the acid-soluble fraction of chick embryo cells is about fourfold higher in growing than in hyperconfluent cells (5) suggest that more than an increase in the number of transport sites is required to explain growth-associated alterations in amino acid transport. The above mentioned findings, coupled to the observations that growing HSWP cells can accumulate AIB to a twofold higher concentration ratio than can quiescent cells (Fig. 1) indicate that in growing cells there is either an increase in the driving force for amino acid transport or a more efficient coupling to existing forces.

One possible source of energy for an enhanced amino acid-concentrating capacity in growing cells, is the electrochemical potential energy stored in the cation gradients. A growth-related increase in the Na<sup>+</sup> electrochemical potential could provide an increased driving force for the accumulation of Na<sup>+</sup>-dependent amino acids. The response of AIB uptake in growing cells to alterations in the Na<sup>+</sup> concentration gradient (Fig. 2) is consistent with this idea. However, not only a change in the Na<sup>+</sup> concentration gradient, but also an alteration in the membrane potential affects the Na<sup>+</sup> electrochemical gradient. Thus, a growth-associated increase in the membrane potential could provide the necessary energy for the enhanced AIB accumulation observed in growing cells.

Experiments to test this hypothesis were based on the assumption that in the presence of Val the cell membrane behaves like a  $K^+$  electrode so that

$$E_{\rm m} = \frac{\rm RT}{\rm F} \ln \frac{\rm [K^+]_0}{\rm [K^+]_i}.$$

It has been determined that Val specifically increases the  $K^+$  conductance of Amphiuma red cell membranes (11), artificial lipid membranes (14, 15), and many other systems. Although no comparable electrical measurements are available in HSWP cells, we have found that Val induces a fourfold increase in  $K^+$  exchange (measured with <sup>86</sup> Rb) when the normal  $K^+$  exchange components are inhibited by ouabain and furosemide (unpublished observations). This occurs presumably by electrical coupling of influx and efflux through a conductive pathway.

The accumulation of AIB in growing cells was demonstrated to be sensitive to Valmediated alterations in the membrane potential (Fig. 3). When the membrane is hyperpolarized by adding Val to cells in 6 mM K<sup>+</sup> medium there is a 36% increase in the AIB concentrating capacity. In contrast, a dramatic decrease in AIB accumulation is observed when the membrane is depolarized by transferring cells to a 94 mM K<sup>+</sup> medium containing Val. Thus, the response of AIB accumulation to changes in membrane potential indicates that a growth-associated alteration in membrane potential could provide the energy required for an enhanced AIB accumulation in growing HSWP cells.

If the enhanced AIB concentrating capacity in growing cells is due solely to an increase in membrane potential, then one would predict that AIB accumulation could be driven to the same level in quiescent and growing cells by hyperpolarizing both to the same membrane potential. However, if the energy were provided by some other source (i.e., an increase in the Na<sup>+</sup> concentration gradient) or if the enhancement were due to tighter coupling to existing energy sources, then one would expect that a hyperpolarization would increase the concentrating capacity in both quiescent and growing cells, but that accumulation would still be higher in the growing cell. Therefore, the observation that a membrane hyperpolarization drives the AIB accumulation ratio to 34 in both quiescent and growing cells suggests that a growth-associated difference in membrane potential does exist and is responsible for the higher AIB accumulation observed in growing cells (Fig. 4). Also, the observation that Val stimulation of serum-stimulated cells again drives the AIB accumulation ratio to 34 is consistent with this hypothesis, and suggests that the membrane potential in serum-stimulated cells is somewhere intermediate between that of growing and quiescent cells.

An attempt was made to measure indirectly the membrane potential of growing and quiescent cells by varying the external  $K^+$  concentration in the presence of Val, and determining at what value of  $[K^+]_0$  the AIB accumulation corresponded to control accumulation (6 mM K<sup>+</sup> environment, without Val). The "null point" value of  $[K^+]_0$  for growing cells is approximately 21 mM which predicts a membrane potential of -47 mV (Fig. 5). In contrast, the "null point" value of  $[K^+]_0$  for quiescent cells is about 50 mM, which corresponds to a membrane potential of -24 mV.

It must be pointed out that, although this method is adequate for measuring differences in membrane potential between the two growth states, the actual values of the potentials measured in a 50 mM Na<sup>+</sup> medium may deviate from those that exist in a normal growth environment. Since we have no data on how the actual cytoplasmic Na<sup>+</sup> concentrations (16, 17) vary with the reduction of extracellular Na<sup>+</sup> concentration, we cannot estimate the contribution of the Na<sup>+</sup> gradient to the potential. However, since the membrane potentials of both growing and quiescent cells were measured in the same environment it appears that a real difference in potential does exist. If anything, the difference in potentials may be underestimated in a 50 mM Na<sup>+</sup> medium. In a 138 mM Na<sup>+</sup> environment, growing cells accumulate more than twice as much AIB as do quiescent cells (Fig. 1), while in a 50 mM Na<sup>+</sup> environment, AIB accumulation is only 1.5 times higher

in growing cells (Fig. 5). A lower potential in quiescent cells implies that the Goldman equation (18), describing the potential in quiescent cells, may be weighted more in favor of the Na<sup>+</sup> potential than in growing cells. Thus, reducing the external Na<sup>+</sup> concentration might cause a larger increase in the potential in quiescent cells than in growing cells, thereby decreasing the difference between them.

The most convincing evidence that an increased membrane potential is responsible for the enhanced AIB accumulation in growing cells is the observation that accumulation in quiescent cells can be matched to that of growing cells by increasing the membrane potential of the quiescent cells to -47 mV. Conversely, the accumulation of AIB in growing cells can be matched to that in quiescent cells by decreasing the membrane potential of growing cells to -24 mV. Thus, by adjusting their membrane potentials one can mimic the growth-associated alterations of AIB accumulation seen in HSWP cells.

It is of interest to note that cell cycle-dependent variations in AIB accumulation ratios have been observed in Ehrlich ascites tumor cells (19). Minimum AIB accumulation is seen in early M phase with maximum AIB ratios, representing a threefold increase, occurring in S phase. Since it has been demonstrated that Na+-dependent amino acid accumulation in ascites cells responds to Val-mediated alterations in membrane potential (20, 21), one can speculate that cell cycle-dependent variations in membrane potential could be responsible for the observed changes in AIB accumulation ratios. Although no measurements of membrane potential throughout the ascites cell cycle have been reported, comparable measurements in cultured Chinese hamster cells indicate that their membrane potential is low after collection of the cells in mitosis, reaches a maximum in S, and falls off again in G2 (22). In general, these measurements roughly parallel the rates of AIB uptake (allowing for an increasing cell surface area throughout the cycle) observed in synchronized CHO cells by Sander and Pardee (23). Also, the ouabain-insensitive, furosemide-insensitive component of  $K^+$  flux, presumably the diffusional  $K^+$  flux, in the ascites cell approximately triples during S phase (24). This finding is consistent with a hyperpolarization during S phase in the ascites cell.

One can speculate that changes in amino acid accumulation capacity in response to growth-associated alterations in membrane potential could serve to regulate cell growth. Others have proposed a role for the membrane potential in the regulation of mitotic activity (25–27). At present we have no information concerning the mechanism for growth-associated alterations of membrane potential in the HSWP cell. The possibility that changes in ionic fluxes, comparable to those seen in the ascites cell and in serum-stimulated 3T3 cells (28) may occur is currently being investigated. Also under investigation is the possibility that alterations in membrane potential are responsible for the differences in amino acid transport observed between transformed and nontransformed cells.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was sponsored jointly by the National Cancer Institute and The Energy Research and Development Administration under contract with the Union Carbide Corporation. MLV is a Postdoctoral Investigator on Carcinogenesis Training Grant CA 05296 from the NCI.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Foster DO, Pardee AB: J Biol Chem 244:2675, 1969.
- 2. Holley RW: Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 69:2840, 1972.

AIB Accumulation and Membrane Potential JSS:189

- 3. Eagle H: Science 148:42, 1965.
- 4. Hempling HG: In Harris EJ (ed): "Transport and Accumulation in Biological Systems." Baltimore: University Park Press, 1972, p 271
- 5. Bhargava PM, Vigier P: J Membr Biol 26:19, 1976.
- 6. Birckbichler PJ, Whittle WL, Dell'orco RT: Proc Soc Exp Biol Med 149:530, 1975.
- 7. Salter DW, Cook JS: J Cell Physiol 89:143, 1976.
- 8. Christensen HN: In Heinz E (ed): "Na<sup>+</sup>-Linked Transport of Organic Solutes." New York: Springer-Verlag, 1972, p 39.
- 9. Avruch J, Wallach DFH: Biochim Biophys Acta 233:334, 1971.
- 10. Salter DW: Personal communication, 1976.
- 11. Hoffman JF, Laris PC: J Physiol 239:519, 1974.
- 12. Villereal ML, Cook JS: (In preparation).
- 13. Geck P, Heinz E: Biochim Biophys Acta 443:49, 1976.
- 14. Henderson PJF: Annu Rev Microbiol 25:393, 1971.
- 15. Haydon DA, Hladky SB: Quart Rev Biophys 5:187, 1972.
- 16. Pietrzyk C, Heinz E: Biochim Biophys Acta 352:397, 1974.
- 17. Smith TC, Adams R: J Membr Biol (In press).
- 18. Goldman DE: J Gen Physiol 27:37, 1943.
- 19. Tupper JT, Mills B, Zorgniotti F: J Cell Physiol 88:77, 1976.
- 20. Gibb LE, Eddy AA: Biochem J 129:979, 1972.
- 21. Reid M, Gibb LE, Eddy AA: Biochem J 140:383, 1974.
- 22. Sachs HG, Stambrook PJ, Ebert JT: Exp Cell Res 83:362, 1974.
- 23. Sander G, Pardee AB: J Cell Physiol 80:267, 1972.
- 24. Mills B, Tupper JT: J Cell Physiol 89:123, 1976.
- 25. Cone CD: J Theor Biol 30:151, 1971.
- 26. Cone CD, Tongier M: J Cell Physiol 82:373, 1973.
- 27. McDonald TF, Sachs HG, Orr CW, Ebert JD: Dev Biol 28:290, 1972.
- 28. Rozengurt E, Heppel L: Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 72:4492, 1975.